

Determining type of signal encoder

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a method of determining whether an encoded signal has been encoded with a particular type of encoder. The invention also relates to a server station being arranged to carry out such a method.

5

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Numerous types of encoding algorithms are nowadays used to encode multimedia files. Well known compression formats are "zip" (for data), "mp3", "wma" (for audio), "avi" and "divx" (for movies). The respective encoder removes almost all  
10 redundancy, and in case of lossy encoding also the irrelevancy, from the original signal so as to obtain an encoded signal that can more efficiently be stored and/or distributed.

Sometimes it is desired to determine by which type of encoder an encoded signal has been produced, or at least ascertain whether an encoded signal has been produced by a particular type of encoder. Computer file names have an extension (such as .zip, .mp3,  
15 .avi) for that purpose. Some encoders also render identification possible by adding a header that reveals the necessary information to the contents. However, file name extensions and headers are sometimes missing or cannot be trusted.

Generally, the type of encoding cannot be identified by mere inspection of the encoded signal, because the encoded and compressed contents contain little or no structure. A  
20 trivial solution would be to try all possible decoders and interpret their outputs. For audio signals, a human being would have to listen whether the decoder output is music or not. This may be a hard decision for some types of music. Moreover, such a solution requires human interaction and cannot be automated.

## 25 OBJECT AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide an alternative method and arrangement for determining whether an encoded signal has been encoded with a particular type of encoder.

These and other objects are achieved with the method in accordance with the invention, which method comprises the steps of: receiving at least a part of said encoded signal; decoding the received signal using a decoder which performs the reverse operation of said particular type of encoder; deriving a fingerprint from the decoded signal; comparing  
5 said fingerprint with fingerprints stored in a database; and concluding that the encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in the database.

The invention exploits the insight that the structure of encoded material is meaningless to a decoder which is not complementary to the respective encoder. Contents  
10 being decoded by the wrong decoder differ significantly from the original contents. It is even unlikely that music or video being reproduced by a wrong decoder resembles any other existing original material. Fingerprints are binary sequences that identify robust perceptual features of contents. If two signals are similar, their respective fingerprints are also similar. If two signals are perceptually different, the respective fingerprints are also different. This  
15 property of fingerprints is used by the method in accordance with the invention to determine whether the decoded signal is an original one.

An advantageous application of this method is promotion of a particular signal encoder. In order to promote its "xxx" signal encoding algorithm, company X offers owners of its xxx-encoder the possibility to upload (parts of) their .xxx files to the company's  
20 website. Using the method according to the invention, the company's web-server checks whether the received file is indeed xxx-encoded material and in the affirmative case awards the owner. A possible award is the transmission, to the user, of metadata associated with the signal such as title, artist, lyrics, etc.

## 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other aspects of the invention are apparent from and will be elucidated with reference to the embodiment shown in the drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a system to illustrate the operation of the method in accordance with the invention.

30

## DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

The invention will be explained with reference to audio signals, but it will be appreciated that the invention is not limited thereto and can equally be applied to video and other types of multimedia signals. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a system to illustrate

the operation of the method in accordance with the invention. The system comprises a server 1 and a client 2 connected together through a network 3.

The client 2, which may in practice take the form of a personal computer, comprises an audio encoder 21 and a return channel input unit 22. The audio encoder may be a software program being downloaded from the server 1. A piece of music 4 being applied to the client is encoded by audio encoder 21. The encoded audio signal is subsequently uploaded to the server 1 through the network.

The server 1 comprises an audio decoder 11, a fingerprint extraction unit 12, a processor 13, and a database 14. In the server, the song being uploaded by the client is decoded by the audio decoder 11. If the encoder 21 being used by the client matches the server's decoder 11, then the decoded signal will be the same as, or at least perceptually resemble, the original piece of music 4. Otherwise, the decoded audio signal will be an undefined creaky noisy sound, because the encoded signal has a structure which is meaningless to a decoder which has not been designed to be the complement of encoder 11.

The decoded audio signal is applied to the fingerprint extraction unit 12. A fingerprint is a bit pattern indicative of robust perceptual features of the audio signal. If two audio signals are perceptually very similar, the respective fingerprints are also similar (but not necessarily identical). A practical embodiment of the fingerprint extraction unit 12 that can be used in the system is described in Jaap Haitsma, Ton Kalker and Job Oostveen, "Robust Audio Hashing for Content Identification", CBMI 2001, Brescia, Italy. For video applications, a practical embodiment is described in Job Oostveen, Ton Kalker and Jaap Haitsma, "Visual Hashing of Digital Video: Applications and Techniques", SPIE, Applications of Digital Image Processing XXIV, July 31 - August 3 2001, San Diego, USA.

The fingerprint extracted from the decoded audio signal is searched in the database 14 by the processor 13. In the database, one or more fingerprints are stored, which have previously been extracted from respective original audio signals. If the extracted fingerprint matches one of the fingerprints stored in the database, then the decoder 11 has apparently indeed decoded an original piece of music. In that case, the processor concludes that encoder 21 of client 2 is indeed the counterpart of the server's decoder 11. An appropriate message is then sent to the client.

If the extracted fingerprint is not found in the database, then either the encoder 21 being used by the client is not the counterpart of decoder 11, or the uploaded piece of music 4 is not stored in the server's database. In the latter case, the server may transmit a

message to the client requesting him to upload a particular song or one from a plurality of particular songs.

In a practical embodiment of server 1, the decoding 11, fingerprint extraction 12, and database searching are all performed by the processor 13, which to that end is loaded  
5 with an appropriate computer program.

A novel application of this invention is promotion of a particular signal encoder. A company may encourage the public to buy its encoder by awarding the owner for uploading a piece of encoded material to its website. After identifying the origin of the uploaded signal as being encoded by the preferred encoder, the owner can be given rights to  
10 access particular information or execute particular processes.

The invention can be summarized as follows. In order to promote its "xxx" signal encoding algorithm, company X offers users of a particular encoder (21) the possibility to upload (parts of) their .xxx files to the company's website. The company's web-server (1) checks whether the received file is indeed xxx-encoded material by (i) decoding the file using  
15 an xxx-decoder (11), (ii) deriving a fingerprint (12) from the decoded signal, and (iii) awarding the owner if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in X's database (14). A possible award is the transmission, to the user, of metadata associated with the signal such as title, artist, lyrics, etc.